

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER
DOCKET NO.: 2008-0150-PST-E **TCEQ ID:** RN105194682 **CASE NO.:** 35292
RESPONDENT NAME: Development II Partners, Inc. dba Exxon on the Run

Page 1 of 2

ORDER TYPE:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1660 AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> SHUTDOWN ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
<input type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input type="checkbox"/> MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
<input type="checkbox"/> WATER QUALITY	<input type="checkbox"/> SEWAGE SLUDGE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> RADIOACTIVE WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
<p>SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: Exxon on the Run, 2041 Interstate Highway 35 South, San Marcos, Hays County</p> <p>TYPE OF OPERATION: Convenience store with retail sales of fuel</p> <p>SMALL BUSINESS: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.</p> <p>INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.</p> <p>COMMENTS RECEIVED: The <i>Texas Register</i> comment period expired on July 7, 2007. No comments were received.</p> <p>CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: None TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Wallace Myers, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 6, MC 128, (512) 239-6580; Mr. Bryan Sinclair, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-2171 Respondent: Mr. Aslam Kapadia, President, Development II Partners, Inc., 10101 Southwest Freeway, Suite 101, Houston, Texas 77074 Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter</p>		

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p>Type of Investigation: <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Routine <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up <input type="checkbox"/> Records Review</p> <p>Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None</p> <p>Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: October 29, 2007</p> <p>Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case: January 4, 2008 (NOE)</p> <p>Background Facts: This was a routine investigation.</p> <p>WASTE</p> <p>1) Failure to maintain underground storage tank ("UST") records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b)].</p> <p>2) Failure to provide proper release detection for the piping associated with the UST system. Specifically, the electronic line leak detectors were not functioning [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a)].</p>	<p>Total Assessed: \$5,625</p> <p>Total Deferred: \$1,125 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited Settlement <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Inability to Pay</p> <p>SEP Conditional Offset: \$0</p> <p>Total Paid (Due) to General Revenue: \$125 (remaining \$4,375 due in 35 monthly payments of \$125 each)</p> <p>Site Compliance History Classification <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Person Compliance History Classification <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Major Source: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002</p>	<p>Corrective Actions Taken:</p> <p>The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:</p> <p>a. Submitted all required UST records on November 12, 2007; and</p> <p>b. Repaired the electronic line leak detectors and verified that they are functioning properly on November 12, 2007.</p>

Additional ID No(s): 78439



Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

PCW Revision November 6, 2007

TCEQ

DATES	Assigned	7-Jan-2008	Screening	10-Jan-2008	EPA Due	
	PCW	23-Jan-2008				

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION

Respondent	Development II Partners, Inc. dba Exxon on the Run		
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN105194682		
Facility/Site Region	11-Austin	Major/Minor Source	Major

CASE INFORMATION

Enf./Case ID No.	35292	No. of Violations	2
Docket No.	2008-0150-PST-E	Order Type	1660
Media Program(s)	Petroleum Storage Tank	Enf. Coordinator	Wallace Myers
Multi-Media		EC's Team	Enforcement Team 6
Admin. Penalty \$	Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum
			\$10,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)

Subtotal 1 **\$7,500**

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History **0% Enhancement** **Subtotals 2, 3, & 7** **\$0**
Notes No adjustment for compliance history.

Culpability **No** **0% Enhancement** **Subtotal 4** **\$0**
Notes The Respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Good Faith Effort to Comply **25% Reduction** **Subtotal 5** **\$1,875**

Before NOV NOV to EDRP/Settlement Offer

Extraordinary	
Ordinary	X
N/A	

Notes The Respondent came into compliance on November 12, 2007.

Total EB Amounts **\$2** **0% Enhancement*** **Subtotal 6** **\$0**
Approx. Cost of Compliance **\$1,000** ***Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount**

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7

Final Subtotal **\$5,625**

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE

0%

Adjustment **\$0**

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage.

Notes
Final Penalty Amount **\$5,625**

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Final Assessed Penalty **\$5,625**

DEFERRAL

20%

Reduction

Adjustment **-\$1,125**

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes

Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY

\$4,500

Screening Date 10-Jan-2008

Docket No. 2008-0150-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Development II Partners, Inc. dba Exxon on the Run

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 35292

PCW Revision November 6, 2007

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN105194682

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Wallace Myers

Compliance History Worksheet

>> Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (<i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (<i>number of orders meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (<i>number of judgements or consent decrees meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (<i>number of counts</i>)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (<i>number of events</i>)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which notices were submitted</i>)	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i>)	0	0%
Please Enter Yes or No			
Other	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 0%

>> Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)

No

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3) 0%

>> Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)

Average Performer

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7) 0%

>> Compliance History Summary

Compliance
History
Notes

No adjustment for compliance history.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 0%

Screening Date 10-Jan-2008		Docket No. 2008-0150-PST-E		PCW
Respondent Development II Partners, Inc. dba Exxon on the Run				<i>Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)</i>
Case ID No. 35292				<i>PCW Revision November 6, 2007</i>
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN105194682				
Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank				
Enf. Coordinator Wallace Myers				
Violation Number		<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; text-align: center;">1</div>		
Rule Cite(s)		<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100%; text-align: center;">30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.10(b)</div>		
Violation Description		<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100%; padding: 5px;">Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel.</div>		
Base Penalty				<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; text-align: right;">\$10,000</div>

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR	Release	Harm			
		Major	Moderate	Minor	
	Actual	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div>	
	Potential	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div>	
				Percent	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; text-align: right;">0%</div>

>> Programmatic Matrix

	Falsification	Harm			
		Major	Moderate	Minor	
	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px; text-align: center;">x</div>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div>	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div>	
				Percent	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; text-align: right;">25%</div>

Matrix Notes	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100%; padding: 5px;">100% of the rule requirement was not met.</div>
---------------------	--

Adjustment	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; text-align: right;">\$7,500</div>
<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; text-align: right;">\$2,500</div>	

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; text-align: center;">1</div>		<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; text-align: center;">15</div>	Number of violation days												
<div style="display: flex; align-items: center;"> <div style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg); font-size: small; margin-right: 5px;">mark only one with an x</div> <table border="1" style="border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;">daily</td><td><div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div></td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;">monthly</td><td><div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div></td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;">quarterly</td><td><div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div></td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;">semiannual</td><td><div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div></td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;">annual</td><td><div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div></td></tr> <tr><td style="padding: 2px;">single event</td><td><div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px; text-align: center;">x</div></td></tr> </table> </div>	daily	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div>	monthly	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div>	quarterly	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div>	semiannual	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div>	annual	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div>	single event	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px; text-align: center;">x</div>				Violation Base Penalty
daily	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div>															
monthly	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div>															
quarterly	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div>															
semiannual	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div>															
annual	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px;"></div>															
single event	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 15px; text-align: center;">x</div>															
				<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; text-align: right;">\$2,500</div>												

One single event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the October 29, 2007 investigation.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation	Statutory Limit Test
Estimated EB Amount	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; text-align: right;">\$1</div>
	Violation Final Penalty Total
	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; text-align: right;">\$1,875</div>
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)	
<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; text-align: right;">\$1,875</div>	

Economic Benefit Worksheet**Respondent** Development II Partners, Inc. dba Exxon on the Run**Case ID No.** 35292**Reg. Ent. Reference No.** RN105194682**Media** Petroleum Storage Tank**Violation No.** 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System	\$500	29-Oct-2007	12-Nov-2007	0.0	\$1	n/a	\$1
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to maintain UST records. The date required is the investigation date and the final date is the date of compliance.

Avoided Costs**ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)**

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs**Approx. Cost of Compliance**

\$500

TOTAL

\$1

Screening Date 10-Jan-2008

Docket No. 2008-0150-PST-E

PCW

Respondent Development II Partners, Inc. dba Exxon on the Run

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 35292

PCW Revision November 6, 2007

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN105194682

Media [Statute] Petroleum Storage Tank

Enf. Coordinator Wallace Myers

Violation Number 2

Rule Cite(s) 30 Tex. Admin. Code § 334.50(b)(2) and Tex. Water Code § 26.3475(a)

Violation Description

Failed to provide proper release detection for the piping associated with the UST system. Specifically, the electronic line leak detectors were not functioning.

Base Penalty \$10,000

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

OR

Release	Harm		
	Major	Moderate	Minor
Actual			
Potential	x		

Percent 50%

>> Programmatic Matrix

Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor

Percent 0%

Matrix Notes

Human health or the environment will or could be exposed to pollutants which would exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.

Adjustment \$5,000

\$5,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

15 Number of violation days

mark only one with an x	daily	
	monthly	x
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$5,000

One monthly event is recommended based on documentation of the violation during the October 29, 2007 investigation to the November 12, 2007 compliance date.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Statutory Limit Test

Estimated EB Amount \$1

Violation Final Penalty Total \$3,750

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$3,750

Economic Benefit Worksheet**Respondent** Development II Partners, Inc. dba Exxon on the Run**Case ID No.** 35292**Reg. Ent. Reference No.** RN105194682**Media** Petroleum Storage Tank**Violation No.** 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
No commas or \$							

Delayed Costs

Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)	\$500	29-Oct-2007	12-Nov-2007	0.0	\$1	n/a	\$1

Notes for DELAYED costs

Estimated cost to repair the electronic line leak detectors. The date required is the investigation date and the final date is the date of compliance.

Avoided Costs**ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)**

Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs**Approx. Cost of Compliance**

\$500

TOTAL

\$1

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator:	CN603171075	Development II Partners, Inc.	Classification: AVERAGE	Rating: 3.01
Regulated Entity:	RN105194682	EXXON ON THE RUN	Classification: AVERAGE BY DEFAULT	Site Rating: 3.01
ID Number(s):	PETROLEUM STORAGE TANK REGISTRATION		REGISTRATION	78439
Location:	2041 IH 35 S, SAN MARCOS, TX, 78666		Rating Date: 9/1/2007 Repeat Violator: NO	
TCEQ Region:	REGION 11 - AUSTIN			
Date Compliance History Prepared:	January 10, 2008			
Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History:	Enforcement			
Compliance Period:	January 10, 2003 to January 10, 2008			

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Wallace Myers Phone: 512-239-6580

Site Compliance History Components

- Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? Yes
- Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? No
- If Yes, who is the current owner? N/A
- If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A
- When did the change(s) in ownership occur? N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.

N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.

N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

1 01/03/2008 (599637)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



**IN THE MATTER OF AN
ENFORCEMENT ACTION
CONCERNING
DEVELOPMENT II PARTNERS, INC.
DBA EXXON ON THE RUN
RN105194682**

§ **BEFORE THE**
§
§ **TEXAS COMMISSION ON**
§
§ **ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY**

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2008-0150-PST-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its _____ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Development II Partners, Inc. dba Exxon on the Run ("the Respondent") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and the Respondent appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. The Respondent owns and operates a convenience store with retail sales of fuel at 2041 Interstate Highway 35 South in San Marcos, Hays County, Texas (the "Facility").
2. The Respondent's two underground storage tanks ("USTs") are not exempt or excluded from regulation under the Texas Water Code or the rules of the Commission.
3. The Commission and the Respondent agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that the Respondent is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. The Respondent received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about January 9, 2008.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by the Respondent of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Five Thousand Six Hundred Twenty-Five Dollars (\$5,625) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II

("Allegations"). The Respondent has paid One Hundred Twenty-Five Dollars (\$125) of the administrative penalty and One Thousand One Hundred Twenty-Five Dollars (\$1,125) is deferred contingent upon the Respondent's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require the Respondent to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

The remaining amount of Four Thousand Three Hundred Seventy-Five Dollars (\$4,375) of the administrative penalty shall be payable in 35 monthly payments of One Hundred Twenty-Five Dollars (\$125) each. The next monthly payment shall be paid within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order. The subsequent payments shall each be paid not later than 30 days following the due date of the previous payment until paid in full. If the Respondent fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with the payment requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may, at the Executive Director's option, accelerate the maturity of the remaining installments, in which event the unpaid balance shall become immediately due and payable without demand or notice. In addition, the failure of the Respondent to meet the payment schedule of this Agreed Order constitutes the failure by the Respondent to timely and satisfactorily comply with all the terms of this Agreed Order.

7. Any notice and procedures, which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action, are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and the Respondent have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
9. The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:
 - a. Submitted all required UST records on November 12, 2007; and
 - b. Repaired the electronic line leak detectors and verified that they are functioning properly on November 12, 2007.
10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that the Respondent has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, the Respondent is alleged to have:

1. Failed to maintain UST records and make them immediately available for inspection upon request by agency personnel, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.10(b), as documented during an investigation conducted on October 29, 2007.
2. Failed to provide proper release detection for the piping associated with the UST system, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 334.50(b)(2) and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.3475(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on October 29, 2007. Specifically, the electronic line leak detectors were not functioning.

III. DENIALS

The Respondent generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that the Respondent pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The payment of this administrative penalty and the Respondent's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Development II Partners, Inc. dba Exxon on the Run, Docket No. 2008-0150-PST-E" to:

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon the Respondent. The Respondent is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
3. If the Respondent fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, the Respondent's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. The Respondent shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. The Respondent shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after the Respondent becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
4. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by the Respondent shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until the Respondent receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...
...the ... of ...

5. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against the Respondent in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
6. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
7. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to the Respondent, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to the Respondent, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

Development II Partners, Inc. dba Exxon on the Run
DOCKET NO. 2008-0150-PST-E
Page 5

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

John S. Sullivan
For the Executive Director

7/18/2008
Date

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein. I further acknowledge that the TCEQ, in accepting payment for the penalty amount, is materially relying on such representation.

I also understand that failure to comply with the Ordering Provisions, if any, in this order and/or failure to timely pay the penalty amount, may result in:

- A negative impact on compliance history;
- Greater scrutiny of any permit applications submitted;
- Referral of this case to the Attorney General's Office for contempt, injunctive relief, additional penalties, and/or attorney fees, or to a collection agency;
- Increased penalties in any future enforcement actions;
- Automatic referral to the Attorney General's Office of any future enforcement actions; and
- TCEQ seeking other relief as authorized by law.

In addition, any falsification of any compliance documents may result in criminal prosecution.

Sham Tequila
Signature

4/23/08
Date

ASLAN KAPADIA
Name (Printed or typed)

President
Title

Authorized Representative of
Development II Partners, Inc. dba Exxon on the Run

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

